**Public Document Pack** 



# **Executive** Committee

Wed 17th Feb 2010 7.00 pm

Committee Room 2 Town Hall Redditch





www.redditchbc.gov.uk

# **Access to Information - Your Rights**

The Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 widened the rights of press and public to attend Local Authority meetings and to see certain documents. Recently the Freedom of Information Act 2000, has further broadened these rights, and limited exemptions under the 1985 Act.

Your main rights are set out below:-

- Automatic right to attend all Council and Committee meetings unless the business would disclose confidential or "exempt" information.
- Automatic right to inspect agenda and public reports at least five days before the date of the meeting.
- Automatic right to inspect minutes of the Council and its Committees (or summaries of business

undertaken in private) for up to six years following a meeting.

- Automatic right to inspect lists of background papers used in the preparation of public reports.
- Access, upon request, to the background papers on which reports are based for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting.
- Access to a public register stating the names and addresses and electoral areas of all Councillors with details of the membership of all Committees etc.
- A reasonable number of copies of agenda and reports relating to items to be considered in public must be made available to the public attending meetings of the Council and its Committees etc.

- Access to a list specifying those powers which the Council has delegated to its Officers indicating also the titles of the Officers concerned.
- Access to a summary of the rights of the public to attend meetings of the Council and its Committees etc. and to inspect and copy documents.
- In addition, the public now has a right to be present when the Council determines "Key Decisions" unless the business would disclose confidential or "exempt" information.
- Unless otherwise stated, all items of business before the <u>Executive Committee</u> are Key Decisions.
- (Copies of Agenda Lists are published in advance of the meetings on the Council's Website:

www.redditchbc.gov.uk

#### If you have any queries on this Agenda or any of the decisions taken or wish to exercise any of the above rights of access to information, please contact Denise Sunman Committee Support Services

Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH Tel: 01527 64252 (Extn. 3270) Fax: (01527) 65216 e.mail: <u>committee@redditchbc.gov.uk</u> Minicom: 595528

# Welcome to today's meeting. Guidance for the Public

#### Agenda Papers

The **Agenda List** at the front of the Agenda summarises the issues to be discussed and is followed by the Officers' full supporting **Reports**.

#### Chair

The Chair is responsible for the proper conduct of the meeting. Generally to one side of the Chair is the **Committee Support Officer** who gives advice on the proper conduct of the meeting and ensures that the debate and the decisions are properly recorded. On the Chair's other side are the relevant Council Officers. The Councillors ("Members") of the Committee occupy the remaining seats around the table.

#### **Running Order**

Items will normally be taken in the order printed but, in particular circumstances, the Chair may agree to vary the order.

**Refreshments :** tea, coffee and water are normally available at meetings please serve yourself.

#### Decisions

Decisions at the meeting will be taken by the **Councillors** who are the democratically elected representatives. They are advised by **Officers** who are paid professionals and do not have a vote.

#### Members of the Public

Members of the public may, by prior arrangement, speak at meetings of the Council or its Committees. Specific procedures exist for Appeals Hearings or for meetings involving Licence or Planning Applications. For further information on this point, please speak to the Committee Support Officer.

#### Special Arrangements

If you have any particular needs, please contact the Committee Support Officer.

Infra-red devices for the hearing impaired are available on request at the meeting. Other facilities may require prior arrangement.

#### Further Information

If you require any further information, please contact the Committee Support Officer (see foot of page opposite).

#### Fire/ Emergency instructions

If the alarm is sounded, please leave the building by the nearest available exit – these are clearly indicated within all the Committee Rooms.

If you discover a fire, inform a member of staff or operate the nearest alarm call point (wall mounted red rectangular box). In the event of the fire alarm sounding, leave the building immediately following the fire exit signs. Officers have been appointed with responsibility to ensure that all visitors are escorted from the building.

**Do Not** stop to collect personal belongings.

Do Not use lifts.

Do Not re-enter the building until told to do so.

The emergency Assembly Area is on Walter Stranz Square.

# Declaration of Interests: Guidance for Councillors

DO I HAVE A "PERSONAL INTEREST" ?

• Where the item relates or is likely to affect your **registered interests** (what you have declared on the formal Register of Interests)

OR

• Where a decision in relation to the item might reasonably be regarded as affecting **your own** well-being or financial position, or that of your **family**, or your **close associates** more than most other people affected by the issue,

you have a personal interest.

WHAT MUST I DO? Declare the existence, and <u>nature</u>, of your interest and stay

- The declaration must relate to specific business being decided a general scattergun approach is not needed
- **Exception** where interest arises only because of your membership of another **public body**, there is no need to declare unless you **speak** on the matter.
- You **can vote** on the matter.

#### IS IT A "PREJUDICIAL INTEREST" ?

In general only if:-

- It is a personal interest and
- The item affects your **financial position** (or conveys other benefits), or the position of your **family, close associates** or bodies through which you have a **registered interest** (or relates to the exercise of **regulatory functions** in relation to these groups)

#### <u>and</u>

• A member of public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably believe the interest was likely to **prejudice** your judgement of the public interest.

#### WHAT MUST I DO? Declare and Withdraw

BUT you may make representations to the meeting before withdrawing, **if** the public have similar rights (such as the right to speak at Planning Committee).





### **Executive**

www.redditchbc.gov.uk

Committee

17th February 2010 7.00 pm Committee Room 2 Town Hall

Agenda		<b>Membership:</b> Cllrs:	C Gandy (Chair) M Braley (Vice-Chair) P Anderson J Brunner B Clayton	W Hartnett N Hicks C MacMillan M Shurmer
4.	<b>Minutes</b> (Pages 1 - 1 Chief Execu	,	To confirm as a correct record the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 27th January 2010. (Minutes attached)	
9.		anel - Abbey edevelopment		the meeting of the Leisure Contracts st February 2010 and associated

27th January 2010



**RENDITCH** ROBAUGH COUNC

### **Executive**

www.redditchbc.gov.uk

### MINUTES

## Present:

Committee

Councillor Carole Gandy (Chair), Councillor Michael Braley (Vice-Chair) and Councillors P Anderson, J Brunner, B Clayton, W Hartnett, N Hicks, C MacMillan and M Shurmer

#### **Also Present:**

**Councillor Banks** 

#### Officers:

S Mullins, K Dicks, S Hanley, A Walsh, L Roberts, R Kindon, T Kristunas, G Revans and A Heighway

#### **Committee Services Officer:**

I Westmore

#### 212. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies for absence.

#### 213. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 214. LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chair advised that the following item of business, scheduled on the Forward Plan to be dealt with at this meeting, had been rescheduled to the meeting on 3rd February 2010. Members were also informed that this report would be going out late as the Council was awaiting further information on a capitalisation request and this would not be received by the Council until 29th January.

• Initial Estimates 2010/11

The Chair also advised that she had accepted the following matter as urgent business:

Item 5 – Public Open Space Consultation Summary and Asset Disposal Programme Update

\_\_\_\_\_

Chair

215. MINUTES

**RESOLVED** that

the minutes of the meetings of the Committee held on 2nd and 9th December 2010 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair, subject to:

in respect of Minute 201 (Opt-in Chargeable Garden Waste Collection – Business Case – Amended Version) it being agreed that Recommendation 7 be amended to read

7) the Council's current collection policy relating to garden waste in grey bins be <u>restated</u> to coincide with the start of the new service to discourage <u>through education</u> garden waste being placed in grey bins.

# 216. PUBLIC OPEN SPACE CONSULTATION SUMMARY AND ASSET DISPOSAL PROGRAMME UPDATE

The comments received as a result of the advertising and consultation undertaken in respect of the proposed disposal of various areas of Public Open Space were considered. Approximately 2,500 individual comments had been received which had been collated by Officers. It was recommended by Officers that two sites be no longer retained in the Asset Disposal Programme, Site 8 (Hunt End Lane) and Site 10 (Moorcroft Gardens) for reasons stated in the report. Officers also commented that Site 3 (Clifton Close) was in Matchborough Ward and not Lodge Park as incorrectly stated in Appendix 1.

It was proposed by Members that two further sites be removed from the Programme, Site 2 (Brooklands Lane) and Site 6 (Harport Road), the first primarily because it formed a part of Arrow Valley Park and the second primarily because of its importance as a buffer to noise pollution from the Warwick Highway.

Site 7 (Heathfield Road) and Site 13 (Petton Close) were also discussed at some length but Members as a whole were not convinced by the arguments for not retaining them on the Programme at this stage. Site 7 was reported to have benefits in terms of car parking in particular at the present time and there was some question over the existing amenity value of Site 13.

The Head of Legal, Democratic and Property Services stated that, in her opinion, no Members of the Planning Committee had fettered their discretion in any subsequent Planning Committee

# Executive

Committee

deliberations through their involvement in the decision-making on the above matters.

#### **RESOLVED** that

- 1) the comments received regarding the proposed disposals be noted;
- the sites in Appendix 1, with the exception of Site 2 (Brooklands Lane), Site 6 (Harport Road), Site 8 (Hunt End Lane) and Site 10 (Moorcroft Gardens), be approved for continued inclusion in the Asset Disposal Programme;
- the site at the corner of Winyates Way and Moons Moat Drive shown identified on plan 17 in Appendix 2 be declared surplus;
- 4) 31 Mount Pleasant identified on plan 19 in Appendix 2 be declared surplus; and
- 5) authority be delegated to the Property Services Manager in consultation with the Portfolio Holder to progress and conclude disposals of assets contained in the Asset Disposal Programme.

#### 217. SINGLE EQUALITIES SCHEME

The Committee considered a Single Equalities Scheme setting out how the Council was promoting and taking action on the specific equality duties placed on it in respect of race, disability and gender.

The Committee welcomed the report and the measures that were proposed to bring the Council onto a more sound footing in respect of equalities. Members commented that, from a practical viewpoint, the organisation performed to a higher level than was suggested by the Council's current assessment rating in this area. However, it was noted that the Council needed to achieve improvements in terms of the equality standards process.

Officers highlighted that the Single Equalities Scheme was a living document. Work was being undertaken with bodies such as the Community Forum to ensure that the diverse communities within the town were receiving fair access to services.

There was some disquiet that the inequalities based upon educational under-achievement were not being suitably addressed as this was considered to be a significant issue within the Borough. Members requested that a report/action plan be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee or Council detailing what the Council, as Community Leader, expected to receive in terms of education provision for the Borough and its children and young people.

#### **RECOMMENDED** that

the Single Equalities Scheme and Equalities Action Plan included at Appendix 1 to the report be approved.

#### 218. ENERGY STRATEGY

A draft Energy Strategy and Action Plan was received by the Committee. The current Strategy was out of date and needed replacing. Officers commented that the baseline data in Tables 1 and 2 would need to be amended and highlighted a number of additions to the information within Table 5, information which had not been available at the time of going to print. This new and amended information would be made available prior to the meeting of the Council.

Members welcomed the report and were keen that the Council should be a leader within the local area in the sphere of reducing energy usage and the associated carbon emissions. The majority of the projects included within the action plan were being funded with assistance from an interest free loan from Salix (part of the Carbon Trust). The rationale behind the phasing of the schemes was explained as it appeared that commencement was not based upon the projected payback period. The Committee was informed that those schemes scheduled for the current financial year were already incorporated in the existing Capital programme for other reasons.

The possibility of identifying local actions that could assist in reducing fossil fuel reliance was discussed. Officers confirmed that the Energy Saving Trust had assessed the opportunities for moving to renewable sources of energy and had concluded that the opportunities within Redditch were very limited. The Building Management System was a key scheme and Members sought confirmation that compatibility with the system already in use by the County Council would be achieved. Officers were able to reassure the Committee that discussions were taking place with the County Council.

#### **RECOMMENDED** that

the Energy Strategy and Action Plan, as attached at Appendix 1 to the report, be adopted.

#### 219. WORCESTERSHIRE ENHANCED TWO TIER (WETT) PROGRAMME

The Committee received and considered the proposed detailed business cases for two-tier joint working in the areas of Regulatory, Property and Internal Audit Services. These had been developed in late 2009 and the proposals would lead to audit services being hosted by Worcester City Council, regulatory services by Bromsgrove and Redditch and property services by Worcestershire County Council.

There was considerable discussion as to the merits of the proposals. Concerns were expressed that local accountability would be diminished and the governance arrangements, as much as they were known, were inadequate given the scope of the services. The level of Member involvement to date was questioned, as were the possible financial implications of the staffing changes detailed within the proposals. It was also noted that there was an extensive period of notice required and significant financial costs involved should an authority wish to withdraw at a later date from the arrangements.

Officers explained that, certainly for regulatory services, the service would continue to be delivered locally and that the relocation of staff would primarily involve the management team. Both Redditch and Bromsgrove already provided efficient regulatory services and it was anticipated that the Service Level Agreement negotiated by the Council would maintain current service standards. There were, in addition, various external drivers ensuring that standards were maintained. The use of the Hub to receive calls from the public, particularly in respect of regulatory services, was touched upon. Officers informed the Committee that the Hub was investing in new technology to improve the efficiency of the service.

It was acknowledged that the level of detail within the business cases was limited at the present time. Officers highlighted the undertaking that had been made at a recent meeting of the WETT Programme Management Group to provide further detail to the participating Councils on the detailed implementation plan and proposed service standards.

#### **RECOMMENDED** that

1) the two tier and joint working proposals for Property Services, Regulatory Services and Internal Audit presented within the Worcestershire Enhanced Two Tier detailed business cases referenced in this report be agreed;

AND

subject to the agreement of the relevant councils out of Worcestershire County Council and the Worcestershire District Councils, namely Worcester City, Bromsgrove District, Redditch Borough, Malvern Hills District, Wychavon District and Wyre Forest District Councils:

#### A. UNIFIED REGULATORY SERVICES

- 2) a unified Regulatory Service for the Worcestershire Councils, jointly hosted by Bromsgrove and Redditch Councils (with Bromsgrove District Council as the employing authority), be established from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2010 under the auspices of a Joint Committee, on the basis set out in the Regulatory Service Detailed Business Case V10, Part 1 & Part 2 (Rev.1) and supported by the Regulatory Services, Detailed Business Case Executive Summary V3.2 at 'Appendix A' to this report;
- 3) this Council's functions in relation to Environmental Health and Licensing Services (so far as permissible in law) be delegated to a Joint Committee in accordance with Section 101 of the Local Government Act 1972 and Section 20 of the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Arrangements for the Discharge of Functions) (England) (Regulations) 2000;
- 4) subject to the agreement in recommendation 1) above being concluded, relevant staff from all Councils which agree to the Regulatory Services business case be transferred to Bromsgrove District Council under TUPE arrangements;
- 5) the financial arrangements for the new service as set out in the Detailed Business Case V10 be approved;
- 6) the Head of Legal, Democratic and Property Services be requested to draft consequent changes to the Council's Constitution to reflect the Shared Services arrangements, establishment of the new Joint Committee

#### B. **PROPERTY SERVICES**

7) a Shared Property Service, hosted by Worcestershire County Council, on the basis set out in the Property Services Detailed Business Case V6 and the supporting

# Executive

Committee

Detailed Business Case Executive Summary V1 at 'Appendix B' to this report be established;

- the transfer of staff to Worcestershire County Council as service provider be approved on the terms proposed from the Commencement Date;
- 9) Authority be delegated to the Head of Legal, Democratic & Property Services to enter into a service level agreement on the basis set out in the Property Services Detailed Business Case V6 and supporting Property Services Detailed Business Case V1 (Appendix B), as amended to reflect any final agreement made between the Partner authorities, be approved;

#### C. INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICES

- 10) a Shared Internal Audit Service (hosted by Worcester City Council) on the basis set out in the Internal Audit, Detailed Business Case V10 and the supporting Detailed Business Case Executive Summary V2 at 'Appendix C' to this report be established;
- 11) the transfer of staff to Worcester City Council as service provider be agreed, on the terms proposed, from the Commencement Date;
- 12) Authority be delegated to the Head of Legal, Democratic & Property Services to enter into a service level agreement on the basis set out in the Internal Audit Detailed Business Case V10 and supporting Internal Audit Detailed Business Case V2 (Appendix B), as amended to reflect any final agreement made between the Partner authorities;

#### TIMELINE AND RECRUITMENT

13) the timeline proposed at 'Appendix D' to the report, which details the order and dates for each consultation period and decision point in relation to the detailed business case development for Internal Audit, Property and Regulatory Services, be supported.

Agrees to the creation of and terms of reference for a Joint Appointments Committee comprising one member of each participating Council for the proposed Head of Regulatory Services.

#### 220. CAPITAL STRATEGY 2010 TO 2013

Officers presented a report setting out the Council's Capital Strategy for the coming three years. The Committee was told that capital receipts had changed little since the previous three year period, hence there was little change to the Strategy itself since the last had been agreed in 2009.

#### **RECOMMENDED** that

- 1) the Capital Strategy appended to the report be approved; and
- 2) the Capital Strategy be added to the list of the Council's Policy Framework documents and incorporated as such into the Council's formal Constitution.

#### 221. HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT 2010/11

A report was received that set out the initial estimates for 2010/11 and the proposed dwelling rent for the coming year. The actual average rent increase was recommended to be set at a level of 2.6% and there was reported to be scope in the Housing Revenue Account to approve all revenue and capital bids detailed within the report.

The Council's negative housing subsidy position was noted as was the recent consultation exercise undertaken by the Government which was expected to result in councils being offered the opportunity for council housing services to be 'self-financing'. The subsidy position from the Government for the current year was still to be received and could result in change should the Council's own subsidy calculations have been incorrect.

#### **RECOMMENDED** that

- 1) the draft 2010/11 Estimates for the Housing Revenue Account attached to the report at Appendix A, be approved;
- 2) the actual average rent increase for 2010/11 be 2.6%;
- the Housing Revenue Account bids for revenue resources categorised as unavoidable and high set out in Appendix B be approved as follows:

2010/11	£63,000
2011/12	£49,000
2012/13	£187,000;

4) the Housing Revenue Account bids for capital resources categorised as high and unavoidable set out in Appendix C be approved as follows:

2010/11	£600,000
2011/12	£730,000
2012/13	£6,020,000; and

5) the bid as recommended by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee be approved as follows:

Cleaning and maintenance of flooring at Three Storey Flats - estimated cost £6,000.

#### 222. COUNCIL TAX BASE

The Committee received the annual report on the Council Tax Base for the following financial year which was required by the Council's major precepting bodies and parish in order to calculate their precept requirements.

#### **RESOLVED** that

- 1) the calculation of the Council's Tax Base for the whole and parts of the area for 2010/11, as detailed in the Appendices to the report, be approved; and
- 2) in accordance with the Local Authorities (Calculation of Tax Base) Regulations 1992, the figures calculated by the Redditch Borough Council as its tax base for the whole area for the year 2010/11 be <u>27,470.42</u> and for the parts of the area listed below be:

Parish of Feckenham:	<u>363.54</u>
Rest of Redditch:	27,106.88

#### 223. OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Members agreed that consideration of the recommendations relating to the Former Covered Market be deferred until that matter was considered by the Executive Committee at its next meeting on 3rd February.

#### **RESOLVED** that

1) the minutes of the meetings of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 25th November and 16th December 2010 be noted;

#### and RECOMMENDED that

2) Councillor Robin King be nominated to represent the Council as a co-optee on the Worcestershire Hub Scrutiny Group.

#### 224. MINUTES / REFERRALS - OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE, EXECUTIVE PANELS, NEIGHBOURHOOD GROUPS ETC.

There were no minutes or referrals under this item.

#### 225. ADVISORY PANELS - UPDATE REPORT

It was noted that further meetings had been arranged, as follows:

Leisure Contracts Advisory panel – 1st February; Constitutional Review Working Party – 24th February; and Climate Change Advisory Panel – 9th March.

#### **RESOLVED** that

the report be noted.

226. ACTION MONITORING

**RESOLVED** that

the report be noted.

227. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

**RESOLVED** that

under S.100 I of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following matters on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12 (A) of the said Act, as amended.

Easemore Road Site – Consultation with Tenants (as detailed at Minute 228 below);

#### 228. EASEMORE ROAD SITE - CONSULTATION WITH TENANTS

(During the consideration of this item, Members discussed matters that necessitated the disclosure of exempt information. It was



27th January 2010

therefore agreed to move to exclude the press and public prior to any debate on the grounds that information would be revealed relating to provisional terms of land disposal under negotiation.)

The Meeting commenced at 7.00pm and closed at 9.39pm

Chair

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

**Document is Restricted** 

## Agenda Item 9

#### **Executive Committee 17<sup>th</sup> February 2010** Leisure Contracts Advisory Panel 1<sup>st</sup> February 2010.

#### **NOTES / RECOMMENDATIONS**

(These meetings are confidential. However, the notes contain nothing which may not be made public, other than the contract costs.)

Present: Councillors: P Anderson (Chair), Hall and Hopkins.

Officers: K Cook and S Hanley.

Notes / Committee Support: S Skinner.

#### 1. APOLOGIES

No apologies for absence had been notified.

#### 2. ABBEY STADIUM REDEVELOPMENT - UPDATE

Kevin Cook gave an illustrated update on progress since the previous meeting of the Panel. He advised that the project had now hit a milestone in so far as 'Stage D' design approval was now required in order to progress the development to an application for planning approval (stages A to C having been various draft initial proposals). Mr Cook advised that Stage D differed very little from the previous Stage C design.

Mr Cook advised that, since the most recent reports, various surveys and reports had been satisfactorily concluded: a topographical survey, archaeological survey, drainage survey, utilities assessment, transport assessment, flood risk assessment, contamination survey, asbestos survey, energy efficiency survey, etc. Only the Transport Assessment remained to be finalised.

Detailed discussion ensued on some of these matters including:

#### a) <u>Energy Efficiency</u>

On this point Members sought additional information and were advised how solar power installations would be used to pre-heat water. Steps would be taken to re-utilise heat and energy generated on the site. Systems in the old Stadium complex required significant upgrading to bring them up to modern conformity standards.

Members asked for consideration to be given to Salix and 'spend to save' as a potential source of funding for replacing the existing equipment in the Stadium as this would reduce the interest payments the project would have to support over the life of the equipment.

#### b) Planning Application Timescales

A planning application had now been submitted, subject to Members' approval of the Stage D design. There would be a 13 week turnround period.

c) <u>Hewell Road Pool</u>

Members noted that Asbestos removal / treatment costs at the Pool had now come down to a far lower figure than originally thought: costs of £100,000 were likely rather than the previously projected £500,000.

Timing of closure of the old Pool was briefly discussed, but remained subject to later decisions re staffing / staff transfer, customer needs, cost considerations, etc. It was likely there would have to be transitional arrangements.

d) <u>Design</u>

Members considered the draft designs and floorplans. These were to be separately circulated to Members. It was noted that the colours indicated in the print-outs were not representative of the final finishes, which would be dealt with under the planning application / approval of materials processes.

In relation to the overall design, Officers highlighted:

e) <u>Gym / Health & Fitness Studio</u>

There would be a new Health and Fitness Studio, plus a new dance studio to supplement the health and fitness suite. An extended gym area, offering more stations, would generate more revenue for the Council. The design would maximise the use of natural light in these areas.

f) Phased Build

The phased build would minimise disruption to use of the existing facilities. Construction of the Swimming Pools would be first; followed by construction of the Link building; and finally the refurbishment of the old buildings.

#### g) Link Building / Configuration of space

Considerable discussion took place on the configuration of the Link building and disposition and area allocated to the various functions: changing rooms, etc. Officers advised that they had considered a wide range of configurations, but believed that they were close to the best use of the available space. Nothing here was yet permanently fixed, however. The plans were merely indicative at this stage.

#### h) Café / Catering

Members asked Officers to seek to maximise this area – the servery, food preparation areas and store. In this respect they felt that some improvements might be achieved. Members discussed the nature of food and drink likely to be offered here and Officers advised that the range was likely to be limited and largely pre-prepared. But Caterers would be encouraged to provide healthy options so far as reasonably possible. The catering would be offered as a concession.

Members asked Officers to take account of lessons learnt at the Countryside Centre in terms of the space allocated originally, and then of necessity later, for catering facilities.

i) <u>Name / Logo</u>

Members queried the naming and branding of the new facility. Officers advised that the Council's corporate identity was still under review and that nothing had yet been determined in respect of any new name for the refurbished stadium complex.

#### i) <u>Colours / materials</u>

Members noted that the colours and materials to be used in the refurbishment were not clearly or accurately shown in the artist's impressions. Much was to be built in brick to match existing materials, plus some major white rendered elements, together with a glazed and powder-coated box feature over the central entrance – all intended to break up the visual impact of the mass of the new complex into smaller defined units.

#### i) Cost Plan

Most significantly perhaps, Members noted that the costs were now running somewhat higher than originally estimated. Some of this arose from the need to renew systems at the old Stadium building: wiring, heating, ventilation, boilers, switching, etc.

The Chair asked Officers to prepare a Cost Plan, breaking down the figures to enable a comparison of the 5 or 6 key factors, with a short description of how these might be addressed to value engineer the scheme back to target figures.

(In view of their commercial sensitivity these figures would be reported separately as a confidential Appendix A.)

#### It was AGREED that

## the Executive Committee be recommended to RESOLVE that

- 1) progress to date on the Abbey Stadium Redevelopment, as reported, be noted; and
- 2) Stage D design, as indicated in the separate 'artists' impression' Appendices, be formally approved, for the purposes of seeking planning permission.

#### 3. BMX TRACK - UPDATE

Kevin Cook gave an oral update on progress since the previous meeting of the Panel: the project was now underway – works were progressing on site (since 25<sup>th</sup> January). Construction of the track would commence from 8<sup>th</sup> February onwards; and work was already underway at the changing rooms.

The target completion date was 9<sup>th</sup> April and the Council was to take possession from 13<sup>th</sup> April. There would then be a commissioning period, following which the facility ought to be operational in May, ready for the holiday period. An appropriate opening event was to be arranged. Members noted attempts to date to secure sponsorship by local firms.

#### It was AGREED that

#### progress to date on the BMX Track, as reported, be noted.

## The meeting commenced at 5.00 p.m. and closed at 6.40 p.m.

Appendices – A - Cost Plan (Confidential – to follow)

B - Floorplans and Artist's Impressions (under separate cover / circulated electronically) By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

**Document is Restricted**